PESC Admissions Application Workgroup
July 20, 2006

**Welcome and Roll Call** Adriana welcomed all members returning and new and took a roll call. In attendance were

1. Kay Anderson, Senior Product Manager, Oracle Corporation
2. Larry Borgione, Product Manager, Oracle Campus Solutions Product Strategy, Oracle Corporation
3. Rick Burnette, Director of Student Information Management, Florida State University
4. Mary Therese Durr, Director of Student Information Management, Bentley College (MA)
5. Adriana Farella, Director, Product and Industry Strategy, Xap Corporation
6. Rajiv Kaushik, Director of Administrative Services, Florida State University
7. Jeff Korte, Project Manager for Admissions, Jenzabar
8. Cheryl Rich, Associate Director of Admissions, Texas A&M University
9. Clare Smith-Larson, Coordinator of Project EASIER and Chair of the AACRAO SPEEDE Committee, Iowa State University
10. Douglas Wofford, President and CEO, Admissions Lab of 422 Group
11. Mark Wyoski, Product Manager, SunGard Bi-Tech

**Parking Lot Items from Last Week**

Name discussion: A required complex element which we needed to review. A significantly difficult issue for this discussion centered on whether both a first and last name are required data. Adriana stressed that we are developing a standard not a business practice. She suggested that the application do any editing against what is actually captured. It should be recognized that the ability to apply whatever requirements resides in the user interface.

Rick and Rajiv expressed that it would seem at least one element be required: recommended the Last Name.

Discussion and a quick poll found that in most systems, Last name is required, First name is optional.

**Recommendation:** The Last Name is to be required. This will be submitted to the Change Review Board.

Preferred name: This item might be unique to an admissions, recruiting, or advancement environments, but has implications across the board. This is not the alternate name which is discussed later. The suggestions from last meeting were reviewed. The discussion centered on how to capture this. In the complex name type it was suggested that the preferred name being a part of the name. It is a new complex type that will be unique to the applicant schema because it is unique to this application business process.
Applicant Person Alternate Name: This name is actually derived value. It will have the same properties as the name complex element. For example last name for an alternate name is required. It is not required. There was an extensive discussion. It was recommended that we establish a Name Type that can be enumerated.

Recommendation: We will use the same name properties for the alternate name as we use in the applicant person type. The data element called Alternate Name will be optional.
Name type will be recommended at an additional element. Further information and discussion on this will be forthcoming.

Action Item: Members would investigate the Name Type (decided to call it Name Category) and send information to Adriana by COB by next Tuesday.

Continued discussions on Draft Schema
Citizenship
Citizenship Status: Adriana reviewed enumerations. Comments from the group: Should we add a country to the status code? There are a number of fields required for SEVIS processing. There is a need to handle citizenship information versus immigration information. We should collect this information in a consolidated block of information. By pulling this data in as a block, do we have issues with core main? We might have to alter core main. Logically, the data elements seem to be related to citizenship/immigration, but the value added may not be worth the change. We decided to follow the current schema.
Country of citizenship and country of perm residence: Discussion followed.

Action Item: Adriana will examine if/how two elements are addressed in core main?

Applicant Person Immigration: Examined the elements information related to this. This was discussed in the context of SEVIS. None of the data on the straw man is sent to government. It is all collected by them at point of entry and is not overridden. There are a number of additional data that was discussed that might be needed. There would be data that could be part of the standard but it would not be transmitted to SEVIS. For example, the I20 information from another school needs to be captured.

Action item: Suggested that a subgroup will work on this and make a list of requirements.
We need to have an actual list from the international admissions sector. Members on this call shall send international admissions application or data elements that are captured to Adriana. Adriana will consolidate and bring back for a future meeting (8/3 or 8/10). Any information about international students would be welcome.
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